

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,082	07/05/2001	Tadatomo Suga	925-203	3209
23117	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
	ANDERHYE, PC	r oon	NGUYEN,	JOSEPH H
	GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F N, VA 22203	LOOR	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2815	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/898,082	SUGA, TADATOMO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•
	Joseph Nguyen	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thi nill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe	ebruary 2005.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims	·		
4) Claim(s) 20-24 is/are pending in the application	١.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on 05 July 2001 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)☐ obje	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	y(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \square Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasumoto et al. (US 4,612,083).

Regarding claim 20, Yasumoto et al. discloses on figure 1f a semiconductor device comprising:

a first substrate 12 (col. 4, line 64) supporting a first insulating layer 16 (col. 4, lines 60-61) with a contact hole defined therein (hole filled with metal 20), and a first conductive material 20 (col. 5, line 61) filling in the contact hole in the first insulating layer and protruding above a surface of the first insulating layer (col. 5, lines 57-60);

a second substrate 12' (col. 6, lines 44-51) supporting a second insulating layer 16' with a contact hole defined therein, and a second conductive material 20' filling in the contact hole in the second insulating layer; and

wherein the first conductive material 20 that fills in the contact hole in the first insulating layer 16 and the second conductive material 20' that fills in the contact hole in the second insulating layer 16' are solid state bonded (col. 7, lines 3-55) to each other

so as to directly contact one another in a bonded stated with no intermediate element therebetween.

Regarding claim 21, Yasumoto et al. discloses on figure 1e the second conductive material 20' filling in the contact hole in the second insulating layer 16' protrudes above a surface of the second insulating layer.

Regarding claim 22, Yasumoto et al. discloses in col. 6, lines 48-51 the first and second conductive materials 20, 20' are of the same material.

Regarding claim 23, the so-called concave surfaces of the respective first and second conductive materials only emerge in the intermediate step of the bonding process. After the two conductive materials are bonded together, these concave surfaces no longer exist in the final product as shown in figure 4 of the instant application. In other words, the so-called concave surfaces do not structurally distinguish the claim from Yasumoto et al. herein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasumoto et al., and further in view of Kawai et al. (US 5,939,789).

Application/Control Number: 09/898,082

Art Unit: 2815

Page 4

Regarding claim 24, Yasumoto et al. discloses on figure 1f substantially all the structure set forth in the claimed invention except a clearance defined between the first and second insulating layers adjacent an area where the conductive materials being solid state bonded to one another. However, Kawai et al. discloses on figure 12c a clearance 8 (col. 11, line 32) defined between the first and second insulating layers 4 (col. 7, lines 17-20) adjacent an area where the conductive materials being solid state bonded to one another. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Yasumoto et al. by having a clearance defined between the first and second insulating layers adjacent an area where the conductive materials being solid state bonded to one another for the purpose of implementing high precision, fine and high density wiring and providing satisfactory electrical conduction between layers (col. 11, lines 32-36, Kawai et al.).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 20-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1734. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am- 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Application/Control Number: 09/898,082

Art Unit: 2815

Tredition Number, 09/090,00

supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JN May 31, 2005.

> TOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5

Sear	ch Notes

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
09/898,082	SUGA, TADATOMO
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph Nguyen	2815

	SEAR	CHED	
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
257	758,762	5/26/2005	JN
438	455,107	5/26/2005	JN
			!
		-	

INTERFERENCE SEARCHED			
Class	Subclass	Date	Examiner
·			

SEARCH N (INCLUDING SEARC		·)
	DATE	EXMR
See copy of search history	5/26/2005	JN
,		